LEGISLATIVE SERVICES AGENCY OFFICE OF FISCAL AND MANAGEMENT ANALYSIS

301 State House (317) 232-9855

FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

LS 6719 NOTE PREPARED: Jan 24, 2003

BILL NUMBER: HB 2007 BILL AMENDED:

SUBJECT: ISTEP.

FIRST AUTHOR: Rep. Behning BILL STATUS: As Introduced

FIRST SPONSOR:

FUNDS AFFECTED: X GENERAL IMPACT: State & Local

DEDICATED FEDERAL

<u>Summary of Legislation:</u> This bill provides that: (1) the ISTEP must be administered during the spring semester; and (2) each ISTEP subject area test may not be longer than two hours in duration.

Effective Date: July 1, 2003.

Explanation of State Expenditures: *Summary:* Under the bill, the Department of Education would be required to administer ISTEP+ examinations during the spring instead of the fall (grades 3, 6, 8, and 10). The impact to state expenditures would be dependent upon whether the Department could use developed test questions and whether an additional development and piloting phase for a spring examination would be required.

The Department could experience administrative expenses regarding the development and piloting of new ISTEP+ assessments for Grades 3, 6, 8, and 10 if new questions need to be developed. Current assessments are based on proficiencies from the preceding grades (e.g., 2, 5, 7, and 9). New assessments given in spring would need to be based on current grade proficiencies. The development of a new test typically involves the following determinations when establishing alignment with a set of state standards: (1) the number of questions; (2) the length of each question; (3) cutoff scores; and (4) test validity and reliability.

The funds and resources required above could be supplied through a variety of sources. Ultimately, the source of funds and resources required to satisfy the requirements of this bill would depend upon legislative and administrative actions.

Background: If additional test question development and piloting were required, CTB McGraw-Hill, the current vendor of the ISTEP examination, estimates the impact to be \$3.3 M in FY 2004 and \$2.7 M in FY 2005. The impact to state expenditures would be short-term (approximately two fiscal years) and would not be ongoing. Additionally, Indiana is estimated to receive approximately \$7.6 M in CY2003 under the Federal

HB 2007+ 1

No Child Left Behind Act for grades 3-10 testing, a portion of which could help offset the costs associated with this proposal.

For FY 2003, \$40,174,677 were appropriated from the state General Fund and state dedicated funds for ISTEP+ testing and remediation (Grades 3, 6, 8, and 10). Of this amount, approximately \$19 M were distributed to eligible school corporations for preventative and regular remediation and approximately \$20 M were distributed for test development and administration.

ISTEP Background: ISTEP+ is Indiana's statewide student assessment program which is currently given in Grades 3, 6, 8, and 10. ISTEP+ includes both norm- and criterion-referenced components, is administered in the fall, and is based upon proficiencies from the previous year. The norm-referenced component of ISTEP+ compares a student's performance to the performance of a statistically representative sampling of U.S. students. The criterion component of ISTEP+ (which includes English/Language Arts and Mathematics subject areas) measures student performance against the Indiana Academic Standard (an expectation of student achievement developed by the State Standards Task Force).

Beginning with the graduating class of the 1999-2000 school year, students who expected to graduate with a diploma were required to either: (1) complete all high school graduation credit requirements in addition to receiving a score at or above the Indiana Academic Standard on the examination; (2) successfully complete all components of the CORE 40 curriculum; or (3) successfully appeal the examination test results in accordance with current law. A student who does not receive a score at or above the Indiana Academic Standard may retake the examination during each semester of each grade following the grade in which the student is initially tested.

Through the spring of 2001 testing session, approximately 83% of the 2000-2001 and approximately 75% of the 2001-2002 graduating class received a score at or above the Indiana Academic Standard on the examination.

Explanation of State Revenues:

Explanation of Local Expenditures: See *Explanation of State Expenditures*.

Explanation of Local Revenues:

State Agencies Affected: Department of Education.

Local Agencies Affected: School corporations.

<u>Information Sources:</u> CTB McGraw-Hill; State of Indiana, *List of Appropriations* (July 1, 2001, to June 30, 2003); Wes Bruce, Department of Education; Department of Education Internet site: http://ideanet.doe.state.in.us.

Fiscal Analyst: Chris Baker, 317-232-9851

HB 2007+ 2